



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/756,036	01/05/2001	Masashi Sugano	00927/LH	5389
1933	7590 08/12/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 5TH AVE FL 16			LAMB, TWY	LER MARIE
	K, NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT PAPER	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2622	

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TA (1 (2 A))					
Office Action Commence		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/756,036	SUGANO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Twyler M. Lamb	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become A	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	March 2005.					
2a)□		his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-11</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the		, ,				
		Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)			•			
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Booth et al. (Booth) (US 5,872,807).

With regard to claim 3, Booth discloses an electronic device that incorporates a spreading clock generating circuit that includes an oscillator for generating a synchronizing clock signal synchronized with a predetermined frequency; a spreading clock generator for spreading a band of a reference clock which is synchronized with the predetermined frequency, and generating spreading clock signals; a plurality of control circuits for controlling the image forming apparatus or each section of the image forming apparatus, wherein at least one control of the control circuits is driven by the spreading clock signals (col 4, lines 34-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,036 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Booth discloses that the electronic device set forth in his invention can be any number of electronic devices incorporating microprocessors or other digital circuits requiring a clock signal for synchronization (i.e. computer or printers) (col 4, lines 46-51). Though, Booth does not specifically teach the writing control circuit, nor the writing control circuit being driven by the synchronizing clock signal; nor the electronic device being an image reading apparatus, it is clear from his disclosure the electronic device if a printer would contain a writing control circuit and the electronic device could be an image reading device.

Nor Booth does not expressly teach a resetting section for resetting the spreading clock generator according to an indexing signal.

Naganawa discloses an image signal recording apparatus that includes resetting section for resetting the spreading clock generator according to an indexing signal (col 5, lines 44-53)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified any image forming apparatus or any image forming apparatus by incorporating the spread spectrum clock generator (SSSG) of Booth to reduce measurable EMI spectral component emissions provided by the SSSG, and further modify Booth by the teaching of Naganawa to include resetting the spreading clock generator to output an image signal to a predetermined format as taught by Naganawa in col 5, lines 44-53.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,036 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 3/11/05, with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 3-10 have been withdrawn.

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Twyler M. Lamb whose telephone number is 571-272-7406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues and Thurs 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Twyler M. Lamb Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622